

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,910	08/10/2005	Markku Broas	122189	5394
25944 OLIFF & BER	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1992			CHAO, ELMER M	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	***
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/518,910	BROAS, MARKKU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elmer Chao	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the condition of the co	This action is non-final. wance except for formal materials	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-34 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		·
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.
Attachment(s)	·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of the amendment filed 5/29/2007. 1.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant arguments filed 5/29/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Regarding Applicant arguments with respect to claims the 102(b) rejection, Examiner asserts that Tsai as evidenced by Cornsweet et al. would still read on the claimed limitations. Specifically, Cornsweet et al. provides evidence of ophthalmoscopes being used for examinations of the ocular fundus by shining light through the patient's pupil to illuminate the fundus. In the field of optical imaging, illuminating an area would involve light scattering. When receiving the light, some of the scattered light would not be returning from the exact point at which the optical radiation was originally directed. Examiner also asserts that Tsai does teach an entrance aperture and exit aperture having different optical axes (see Fig. 2, Items 36 and 37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3737

5. Claims 21-23, 25-30, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsai (U.S. 2002/0038075) and as evidenced by Cornsweet et al. (U.S. 6.296.358 B1). Tsai teaches a method and apparatus for: forming an image of an organ including skin (Para [0025], last sentence; Fig 6c; Para [0034]) or ear (Fig 6b; Para [0034]) by illuminating an organ by radiation emitted from a hand-held camera unit (Fig. 2, Item 10) comprising a nose part (Fig. 2, Item 14), wherein the camera unit can be connected to a power source through a cable (Fig 5); emitting optical radiation toward the organ via at least one exit aperture (Fig. 2, Item 32) and one entrance aperture (Fig. 2, Item 48) whose optical axes differ from each other (the fiber optic light outlet and lens housing inherently posses apertures at the edges where the light exits the end of the fiber optic cable and enters the housing), wherein the entrance and exit apertures are parallel and unidirectional whose optical axis differ from each other (Fig 2, Items 36 and 37; Para [0025]); transferring images captured by the camera unit to a data processing device (Fig. 3, Items 34 & 62); and displaying the images visually (Fig. 2, Items 64 & 20).

Tsai also teaches using the camera unit as an ophthalmoscope (Para [0011] – Para [0013]; claim 1). Ophthalmoscopes are used for examinations of the ocular fundus by shining light through the patient's pupil to illuminate the fundus, as evidenced and explicitly stated in Cornsweet et al. (col. 1, lines 18-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 24 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai and as evidenced by Cornsweet, in view of Oharek (U.S. 4,208,107). Tsai teaches the limitations as discussed above. Tsai also teaches that the light used can be infrared light or white light (Para [0023]). Tsai does not teach illuminating the eye with white light and infrared light. However, Oharek teaches using both white light and infrared light to illuminate the eye (abstract; col. 7, lines 64-68; col. 8, lines 1-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Tsai to use both infrared and white light to illuminate the eye in order to obtain both infrared pictures and black and white or color pictures without closing the pupil in response to the white light (abstract; col. 7, lines 64-68; col. 8, lines 1-32).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 3737

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elmer Chao whose telephone number is (571)272-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EC 10/1/2007 BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 9700